

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_118784
Title of the Manuscript:	Skills of Heads of Schools on Unit Cost for Financing Students in Public Secondary Schools in Arumeru District, Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The manuscript is very important for scientific community because it explores both the quantitative and qualitative methods of scientific research to do the analysis and recommendations.</p> <p>Yes, the article has a good title but it has a minor wrong use of the preposition “for” instead of “of”. It's suitable to read: Skills of Heads of Schools on the Unit Cost of Financing Students in Public Secondary Schools in Arumeru District, Tanzania.</p> <p>The abstract gave a comprehensive insight.</p> <p>Yes, the subsections are appropriately structured.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references are sufficient but about fifty percent of them have reached a decade.</p>	<p>Note That: NB. Im still a PhD candidate, hence I'm not yet become Dr. I request you to introduce me as Mr. Wilson Daniel John instead of Dr. Wilson Daniel John</p> <p>I have received the comments and I have worked on them accordingly</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it's very suitable.	Noted
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is detailed and thorough in the surveys and interviews and gives a suitable recommendations.	Noted

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	